

Start from London Equation

Shigeyuki AONO *

Division of theoretical chemistry, Faculty of Science, Kanazawa University
Kanazawa, Ishikawa, 920-1192

PR0056

If the electronic system interacts with radiation field. the momentum \mathbf{p} is replaced by

$$\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{A} is called the vector potential and considered to be the source of the electro-magnetic field, and e is the coupling constant. This is so-called the minimal interaction hypothesis.

Then current becomes

$$\mathbf{j} = -\frac{i}{2}(\phi^* \nabla \phi - \phi \nabla \phi^*) - |\phi|^2 \mathbf{A} \quad (c = 1, e = 1) \quad (2)$$

The first term is called the paramagnetic current, while the second term, the diamagnetic current. The diamagnetic susceptibility in ring compounds is originated from the secondly induced diamagnetic current due to the vector potential \mathbf{A} .

London also asserted that in the superconducting state, the wave function of the first term is rigid, hardly cause the paramagnetic current, and the diamagnetic current survives, so that the superconducting current is diamagnetic. However this assertion, at first glance, is a little suspicious. Superconducting state is purely electronic and nothing to do with the external field.

We have to seek for internally \mathbf{A} so long as what London has said is true. Let the Nambu Spinor be

$$\phi^+(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{\uparrow}^+(x) & \phi_{\downarrow}(x) \end{pmatrix} \quad \phi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{\uparrow}(x) \\ \phi_{\downarrow}^+(x) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

Then we have the density matrix,

$$\rho_{rs}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{rs}^{\uparrow\uparrow}(x) & \rho_{rs}^{\uparrow\downarrow}(x) \\ \rho_{rs}^{\downarrow\uparrow}(x) & \rho_{rs}^{\downarrow\downarrow}(x) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{rs}^{\uparrow}(x) & \rho_{rs}^+(x) \\ \rho_{rs}^-(x) & \rho_{rs}^{\downarrow}(x) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

*Professor emeritus of Kanazawa university,

Present address: Funabashi Fujiwara 7-41-2-102, Chiba, Japan 273-0047

Email aonosan2000@ybb.ne.jp

Any 4×4 matrix is developed in terms σ matrices as

$$\rho_{rs}(x) = \rho_{rs}^\mu(x)\sigma^\mu, \quad \rho_{rs}^\mu(x) = \text{Tr } \sigma^\mu \rho_{rs}(x) \quad (5)$$

and then

$$H = (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_r \mathbf{a}_s) \sigma^\mu h_{rs}^\mu + (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_r \mathbf{a}_s) \sigma^\mu (\rho_{rs}^\mu; \rho_{tu}^\nu) \sigma^\nu \bar{\mathbf{a}}_t \mathbf{a}_u \quad (6)$$

We seek for the vector potential hidden in the electron- electron interaction. To this end we observe the electronic energy of the system. We now find the vector potential is hidden in the electron-electron interaction.

$$\begin{aligned} A^3(x) &= \int dx_2 \rho_{rs}^3(x) v(x-x_2) \rho_{tu}^3(x_2) \sigma^3(\bar{\mathbf{a}}_t \mathbf{a}_u) \\ A^+(x) &= \int dx_2 \rho_{rs}^+(x) v(x-x_2) \rho_{tu}^-(x_2) \sigma^-(\bar{\mathbf{a}}_t \mathbf{a}_u) \\ A^-(x) &= \int dx_2 \rho_{rs}^-(x) v(x-x_2) \rho_{tu}^+(x_2) \sigma^-(\bar{\mathbf{a}}_t \mathbf{a}_u). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

We now write the electron-electron interaction as the gauge factor over the wave function,

$$\phi(x) \rightarrow \phi(x) e^{-(e/c) dx^i A^i(x)} \quad i = 3, +, - \quad (8)$$

However a question remains. In getting the expectation value we take Tr operation which requires for the indices matrix elements $\sigma^\mu \sigma^\nu \rightarrow \sigma^0$. In this case, the non-relativistic treatment for the off-diagonal elements reduce to vanishing upon integration over the spin space. Precisely speaking, the electron-electron interaction $v(x-x')$ is spatially symmetric, while the densities $\rho^+(x)$ and $\rho^-(x')$ are mutually orthogonal in the spin space, so that the integral in question vanishes upon the spin space integration. This difficulty is avoided by BCS with the electron-phonon interaction and by Bogoliubov with the coherent interaction. Our treatment prefers to the relativistic consideration, say the spin-orbit coupling in which the spin operator rotates the spin space.